

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,590 11/29/2001		Manfred R. Kuehnle	102085-0001	6230
24267	7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP			LEE, DAVID J	
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2633	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/
K	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/997,590	KUEHNLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Lee	2633				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as a solid part of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The preriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ine 2005					
· · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
•=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 15-21 is/are pending in the application	1					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 15-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.	•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		had the Caracina				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[_]	The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/997,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowers et al. (US Patent No. 6,728,433 B1).

Regarding claim 15, Bowers teaches an optical information transmission network comprising a set of optical sending devices (34 of fig. 3) located in a first plane (16 of fig. 3) and a set of optical receiving devices (50 of fig. 3) located in a second plane spaced opposite the first plane, each receiving device including a converging lens in said second plane (36 of fig. 3) and a corresponding receiving fiber for receiving light transmitted by the corresponding converging lens (38 of fig. 3) and each sending device including an oculus (48, 32 and 34 of fig. 3 are considered the "oculus") rotatably

mounted in the first plane and adapted to be aimed at the converging lens of any selected one of the set of receiving devices (the oculus is rotatable along its axis and can be aimed at a selected converging lens) and a sending fiber attached to that oculus (28 of fig. 3).

Regarding claim 16, Bowers teaches that each oculus in the set of sending devices is rotatably mounted in a plate located in the first plane (16 of fig. 3) and each converging lens of the set of receiving devices is mounted in a second plate located in the second plane (the lens 36 of fig. 3 is attached to a plate).

Regarding claim 17, Bowers teaches that each oculus comprises a body including a spherical lens for transmitting light from the fiber attached to that oculus (32 of fig. 3).

Regarding claim 19, Bowers teaches means for aiming each oculus in response to a selected optical control signal (52 sends an optical control signal to 24 of fig. 3).

Regarding claim 20, Bowers teaches an extractor for extracting the optical control signal from an optical input signal (col. 3, lines 45-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers.

Regarding claim 21, Bowers teaches the limitations of claim 20 but does not expressly disclose that the optical input signal and the optical control signal have different wavelengths. However, the use of different channels and wavelengths to distinguish control signals and input signals is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to set the input signal and the control signal at different wavelengths.

- 6. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2633

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL

M. R. SEDIGHIAN